

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,331	04/12/2006	Patrick Fontaine	PF030159	3620
Joseph J Laks	7590 02/16/201	1	EXAM	IINER
Patent Operati	ons	REGO, DOMINIC E		
Thomson Lice PO Box 5312	ensing Inc	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/16/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
***		
10/575.331	FONTAINE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DOMINIC E. REGO	2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE O	F THIS COMMUNICATION.
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a), In after SSI (6) MCNTHS from the malling date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t aemed patent term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 August 2	<u>2010</u> .
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action	is non-final.
Since this application is in condition for allowance ex-	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex part	<i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,15 and 18</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9.15, and 18</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or electi	on requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted on	or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is re	equired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc	· ·
application from the International Bureau (PCT	1.77
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsporson's Fatent Drawing Floridw (PTO-943)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Notice of Informal Patent Application     Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/575,331 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/12/2010 has been entered.
- This communication is responsive to the application filed on August 12, 2010.
   Claims 1-9,15, and 18 are pending and presented for prosecution.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3,5-9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior art in view of Iacono et al. (US Pub. No. 2005/0285803) in view of Proctor, Jr. et al. (US Patent #6,941,152).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 1, Admitted Prior Art teaches a method of communication in transmitting/receiving stations in a wireless communication network, in which multi-receiver frames are exchanged between a station and a plurality of other stations indicating the transmitting station and the receiving station operate in an omnidirectional manner using omnidirectional antennas at the transmitting station and at the receiving station (Page 2, lines 5-8; lines 21-27), and mono-receiver frames are exchanged between the transmitting station and the receiving station (Page 2, lines 23-24), but does not specifically teach when operating in a directional manner using a directional antennas at the transmitting station and at the receiving station, wherein the transmission in an omnidirectional manner is effected in a more robust fashion than the transmission in a directional manner using a directional antenna.

However, in related art, lacono teaches when operating in a directional manner using a directional antennas at the transmitting station and at the receiving station (See Abstract, Paragraphs 0020 and 0041). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the above teaching of lacono to Admitted Prior Art in order to suppress the interference power from other mobile stations.

The combination of Admitted Prior Art and Iacono fail to teach wherein the transmission in an omnidirectional manner is effected in a more robust fashion than the transmission in a directional manner using a directional antenna.

However, in related art, Proctor, Jr. teaches wherein the transmission in an omnidirectional manner is effected in a more robust fashion than the transmission in a

Art Unit: 2618

directional manner using a directional antenna (Col 1, lines 18-48; Col 4, line 59-Col 5, line 33). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the above teaching of Proctor, Jr. to Admitted Prior Art and lacono in order to reduce interference.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Admitted Prior Art, Iacono and Proctor, Jr. teach all the claimed elements in claim 1. In addition, Proctor, Jr. teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein the more robust transmission is effected at a lower throughput than the less robust transmission (Col 4, line 59-Col 5, line 33).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Admitted Prior Art, Iacono and Proctor, Jr. teach all the claimed elements in claim 1. In addition, Proctor, Jr. teaches the method, wherein the mono-receiver frames are modulated by a modulation with a first number of phases and in that the multi-receiver frames are modulated by a modulation with a second number of phases, and in that the first number of phases is greater than the second number of phases (Col 4, line 59-Col 5, line 33).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Admitted Prior Art, Iacono and Proctor, Jr. teach all the claimed elements in claim 1. In addition, Proctor, Jr. teaches the method, wherein the mono-receiver frames are coded with a first forward error correction rate and the multi-receiver frames are coded with a second forward error correction rate, and in that the first rate is higher than the second rate (Col 4, line 59-Col 5, line 33).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Admitted Prior Art, Iacono and Proctor,

Jr. teach all the claimed elements in claim 5. In addition, Admitted Prior Art teaches the

Art Unit: 2618

method, wherein the mono-receiver frames and the multi-receiver frames are modulated by the same modulation (Page 2, lines 17-36).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Admitted Prior Art, Iacono and Proctor, Jr. teach all the claimed elements in claims 5 and 12. In addition, Admitted Prior Art teaches the method, wherein the transmission is in compliance with one of the standards belonging to the set comprising: Hiperlan type 2; and IEEE802.11a (Page 1, lines 24-25).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Admitted Prior Art, Iacono and Proctor, Jr. teach all the claimed elements in claim 1. In addition, Admitted Prior Art teaches the method, wherein the transmission is in compliance with IEEE 802.11g (Page 1, lines 24-25).

Regarding claim 9, Admitted Prior Art teaches a transmitting and/or receiving station for a wireless communication network, wherein said station comprises an omnidirectional antenna (Page 2, lines 25-27) to transmit and/or receive multi-receiver frames in an omnidirectional manner indicating the transmitting and the receiving station (Page 2, lines 5-8; lines 21-27) and at least one antenna to transmit and/or receive mono-receiver frames (Page 2, lines 23-24), determined by the multi-receiver frames (Page 2, lines 5-25), but does not specifically teach directional antenna to transmit and receive in a directional manner and the transmission in a omnidirectional manner being effected in a more robust fashion than the transmission in a directional manner.

However, in related art, Iacono teaches directional antenna to transmit and receive in a directional manner (See Abstract, Paragraphs 0020 and 0041). Therefore, it

Art Unit: 2618

would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the above teaching of Iacono to Admitted Prior Art in order to suppress the interference power from other mobile stations.

The combination of Admitted Prior Art and Iacono fail to teach the transmission in a omnidirectional manner being effected in a more robust fashion than the transmission in a directional manner

However, in related art, Proctor, Jr. teaches the transmission in a omnidirectional manner being effected in a more robust fashion than the transmission in a directional manner (Col 1, lines 18-48; Col 4, line 59-Col 5, line 33). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the above teaching of Proctor, Jr. to Admitted Prior Art and lacono in order to reduce interference.

Regarding claim 18, the combination of Admitted Prior Art, Iacono and Proctor, Jr. teach all the claim element in claim 9. In addition, Proctor, Jr. teaches wireless communication network wherein it comprises several transmitting and/or receiving stations (Proctor, Jr., Figure 2 and Admitted Prior Art, Page 1, lines 33-35).

 Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art in view of lacono et al. (US Pub. No. 2005/0285803) in view of Proctor, Jr. et al. (US Patent #6,941,152) and further in view of Trompower (US Patent #6,132,306).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Admitted Prior Art, Iacono and Proctor,

Jr. fail to teach the method, wherein the mono-receiver frames are modulated by a

Art Unit: 2618

modulation with more than two phases and in that the multi-receiver frames are modulated by a two phases modulation.

However, in related art, Trompower teaches the method, wherein the monoreceiver frames are modulated by a modulation with more than two phases and in that
the multi-receiver frames are modulated by a two phases modulation (Col 11, lines 1734). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the
invention to provide the above teaching of Trompower to Admitted Prior Art, Iacono and
Proctor, Jr. in order to avoid interference.

 Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art in view of lacono et al. (US Pub. No. 2005/0285803) in view of Proctor, Jr. et al. (US Patent #6,941,152) and further in view of Pekonen et al. (US Patent #7.092.672).

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Admitted Prior Art, Iacono and Proctor,

Jr. teach all the claimed elements in claim 9, except the station, wherein it comprises
four directional antennas oriented at 90 degree with respect to one another.

However, in related art, Pekonen teaches station, wherein it comprises four directional antennas oriented at 90 degree with respect to one another (Col 4, lines 35-55). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the above teaching of Pekonen to Admitted Prior Art, Iacono and Proctor, Jr. in order to enable the antenna's angle of coverage to be adjusted.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,331 Page 8

Art Unit: 2618

7. Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. SEE MPEP 2141.02 [R-5] VI. PRIOR ART MUST BE CONSIDERED IN ITS ENTIRETY, INCLUDING DISCLOSURES THAT TEACH AWAY FROM THE CLAIMS: A prior art reference must be considered in its entirety, i.e., as a whole, including portions that would lead away from the claimed invention. W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc. v. Garlock, Inc., 721 F.2d 1540, 220 USPQ 303 (Fed. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 851 (1984) In re Fulton, 391 F.3d 1195, 1201,73 USPQ2d 1141, 1146 (Fed. Cir. 2004). >See also MPEP §2123.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/12/2010 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s)
 1-9,15, and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive.
 Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a
 new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of lacono et al. (US Pub. No. 2005/0285803).

Art Unit: 2618

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DOMINIC E. REGO whose telephone number is (571)272-8132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc M. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dominic E Rego/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618 Tel 571-272-8132